



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/496,009	. 02/	/01/2000	Raymond W. Ellis	21183-P001US	5583	
	7590	04/15/2004		EXAMINER		
Barry S. newberger				VAUGHN JR, WILLIAM C		
Winstead Sechrest & Minick P.C. 100 Congress Avenue, Suite 800				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Austin, TX 78			2143 DATE MAILED: 04/15/200	2143	11	
				, (7		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

In

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	M
•	09/496,009	ELLIS ET AL.	-1.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	William C. Vaughn, Jr.	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication eriod for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become AB/	pply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
tatus			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 June 2002.		
, –	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the n	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
risposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.		
application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		ov the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •	1.121(d).
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	•	
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		(, (,, (-),	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of th	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	age
application from the International E	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for		received.	
	·		
uttachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48) Paper No(s))/Mail Date	50)
) 🛛 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/		formal Patent Application (PTO-1	5 ∠)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4,6,9,10</u> .	6)		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/496,009

Art Unit: 2143

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Action is in regards to the latest papers received on 14 June 2002.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The references listed in the Information Disclosure Statement submitted on 22 May 2000, 28 July 2000, 11 January 2002 and 19 June 2002, have been considered by the examiner (see attached PTO-1449).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Semi E37-0298, High-Speed SECS Message Services (HSMS) Generic Services in view of Semi E30-0299, Generic Model for Communications and Control of Semi Equipment (GEM).
- E37-0298 discloses a tool management method comprising the steps of: receiving a first request via a network [see Semi E37-0298, page 3, section 5] determining a type of said first request using a first predetermined field in a portion of said request [see Semi E37-0298, page 3]. However, Semi E37-0298 does not explicitly disclose sending a first message to a tool in response to said request and said request type wherein said first message is operable for controlling an action of the tool.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/496,009

Art Unit: 2143

6. In the same field of endeavor, Semi E30-0299 discloses (e.g., communications and control of Semi Equipment). Semi E30-0299 discloses sending a first message to a tool in response to said request and said request type wherein said first message is operable for controlling an action of the tool [see Semi E30-0299, page 12, section 3.3, page 17, section 4].

- 7. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the networking art have to incorporated Helm's Semi E30-0299's teachings of communications and control of Semi Equipment with the teachings of Semi E37-0298. By this rationale claim 1 is rejected.
- 8. Regarding claims 2, 16 and 28, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses further comprising the step of determining an identification of a tool object corresponding to said tool using a second predetermined field in said portion of said request [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03]. By this rationale claims 2, 16 and 28 are rejected.
- 9. Regarding claims 3, 17 and 29, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses wherein tool performs a first action in response to said message [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03)]. By this rationale claims 3, 17 and 29 are rejected.
- 10. Regarding claims 4, 18 and 30, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses wherein said tools return at least one second message associated with said first action, said method further comprising the step of caching said at least one second message [see Semi E37-0298, page 20, 2nd paragraph]. By this rationale claims 4, 18 and 30 are rejected.
- 11. Regarding claim 5, 19 and 31, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses further comprising the steps of: retrieving selected ones of said at least one second message; and generating a response to a second request using said selected ones of said sat least one second

Application/Control Number: 09/496,009

Art Unit: 2143

message [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03)]. By this rationale claims 5, 19 and 31 are rejected.

- 12. Regarding claims 6, 20 and 32, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses further comprising the step of sending said response to a client system initiating said first and second requests [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03)]. By this rationale claims 6, 20 and 32 are rejected.
- 13. Regarding claims 7 and 14, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses wherein said first request is transferred in accordance with a network transfer protocol, and said network transfer protocol defining a format of said first request [see Semi E37-0298, page 3]. By this rationale claims 7 and 14 are rejected.
- 14. Regarding claims 8, 21 and 33, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses further comprises the steps of receiving a connection request and opening a connection to a client, said connection being operable for communicating requests and responses to said requests [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03)]. By this rationale claims 8, 21 and 33 are rejected.
- Regarding claims 9, 22 and 34, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses further comprising the step of: receiving a second request, said second request selected from the group consisting of information (INFO) requests, expand requests and edit requests, wherein, in response to each of said information requests, a set of selected data for a tool object [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03), see also prior art of record, Helm et al., WO 95/34866, page 15, lines 27-29 and Col. 16, lines 1-6] corresponding to a managed tool is sending to a client, in response to each of said edit requests, a portion operable for user entry of

Application/Control Number: 09/496,009

Art Unit: 2143

one or more values for modifying a tool object attribute is generated for sending to said client, and in response to each of said expand requests a set of child object names and relations to a parent object identified in each expand request is generated for sending to said client [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03)].

- 16. Regarding claims 10, 23 and 35, Semi E37-0298-Semi E30-0299 discloses wherein said type of said first request denotes an execute request [The Examiner takes Official Notice (see MPEP 2144.03)]. By this rationale claims 10, 23 and 35 are rejected.
- 17. Regarding claims 12, 13, 25, 26, 36-38, 39-44, the limitations of these claims are all variations of the above rejected claims, and thus are rejected for the same rationale in rejected the above claims.

Conclusion

18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William C. Vaughn, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 306-9129. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-6:00, 1st and 2nd Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/496,009

Art Unit: 2143

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

William C. Vaughn, Or.
Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2143 05 April 2004

Page 6